IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY BETH HARSHBARGER		
Dlaintiff	•	

VS. 3:CV-09-0487

(JUDGE VANASKIE)

MICHAEL R. REGAN, UNITED STATES:

MARSHAL FOR THE MIDDLE

DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA, ERIC HOLDER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF

THE UNITED STATES, SUSAN TORRES, ATTORNEY-ADVISOR OFFICE OF THE LEGAL ADVISOR,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF OF STATE, HILLARY RODHAM

CLINTON, SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Defendants

ORDER

April 22, 2009

THE BACKGROUND OF THIS ORDER IS AS FOLLOWS:

Petitioner Mary Beth Harshbarger has moved for issuance of a certificate of appealability in connection with her announced intention to appeal the denial of her habeas corpus petition that was filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 to challenge her extradition to Canada. A certificate of appealability, however, is not required to appeal from the denial of a § 2241 habeas corpus petition. See Burkey v. Marberry, 556 F.3d 142, 146 (3d Cir. 2009); United States v. Ceparo, 224 F.3d 256, 264-65 (3d Cir. 2000). In particular, a

Case 3:09-cv-00487-TIV	Document 21	Filed 04/22/09	Page 2 of 2
			1 446 2 01 2

certificate of appealability is not required in habeas corpus cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 to challenge an extradition order. <u>See Lindstrom v. Graber</u>, 203 F.3d 470, 473 (7th Cir. 2000); <u>Murphy v. United States</u>, 199 F.3d 599, 601 (2d Cir. 1999).

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Emergency Motion for Certificate of Appealability (Dkt. Entry 17) is DISMISSED.

s/ Thomas I. Vanaskie
Thomas I. Vanaskie
United States District Judge